



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,647		07/02/2001	Hiroyasu Karimoto	33764	5920
116	7590	11/09/2005		EXAMINER	
PEARNE	& GORD	ON LLP		BASHORE, V	WILLIAM L
1801 EAST	9TH STR	EET			
SUITE 120	SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLEVELA	CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			2176	

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Application No. Applicant(s) Advisory Action 09/869 647 KARIMOTO ET AL

09/009,047	MANIMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
William L. Bashore	2176	
ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	Appeal. To avoid abar idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	in the final rejection whi	iahawar ia latar - Ia
ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.
on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing day.	of the fee. The approprisinally set in the final Office te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
ter form for appeal by materially re-		he issues for
corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
will not be entered, or b)      will will will will will will will	l be entered and an e	xplanation of
·		
t before or on the date of filing a Ne	atice of Anneal will not	t he entered
vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
	, 00	<b>&gt;</b> .
ſ	1) (lem de	Domline
·	WILLIAM BAS	MORÉ MINER
	1117/2000	50 mm r mm r
	Examiner  William L. Bashore  ars on the cover sheet with the of APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, affitice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection.  Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth after than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE obsolution and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply originates than three months after the mailing day.  Williamce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be an insign the first the mailing day.  Williamce with 37 CFR 41.37 must be an insign thereof (37 CFR 41.37 (e)), to within the time period set forth in 3 that prior to the date of filing a brief, an insideration and/or search (see NO wi); therefore for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally rejuited. See attached Notice of Non-Company is submitted in a separate, will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b) will will not be entered, or b) will vided below or appended.  It before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the evercome all rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. So an of the status of the claims after entered the status of the status of the	Examiner  William L. Bashore  ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence additional and the cover sheet with a name of the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abart with a great and the compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the final rejection.  In the short of the sho

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant argues that the cited references do not teach both calculating a correlation, and obtaining a set of element indexes. In addition, Mindrum's access of a database holding information at least suggests element "indexes", so as to fascilitate organized query and retrieval of information. Arellano's re-evaluation of features and values by utilizing correlation computations serve to teach correlation, and is applied to Mindrum accordingly (i.e. applied to Mindrum's database indexes, etc.).

2